

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 are all the claims pending in the application.

In reply to the Response filed July 21, 2004, the Examiner removed the previous claim rejections. The status of the claims is the following.

Claims 1 and 2 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by newly-cited Yuen et al. (US 6,477,705). Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuen in view of previously-cited Morrison (US 6,591,292).

Yuen relates to a method and apparatus for simultaneously displaying video programs and related text on a television screen. In Yuen, a television viewer uses a picture-in-picture (PIP) format for display of program related information such as television program listings from a program schedule data base in the background and moving, real time images of a program selected from the displayed listings in the PIP window.

For the rejection of claim 2, Applicant submits that Yuen fails to teach or suggest all of the limitations of the claim. In particular, Yuen does not disclose the feature of claim 2 of an OSD source for transmitting a plurality of OSD multi cursor display data by giving each OSD multi cursor display data a peculiar ID and transmitting a selected OSD multi cursor ID and display location information in the case that there is an OSD multi cursor display command from the OSD source remote controller. The Examiner asserts that col. 4, line 55 - col. 5, line 41 of Yuen discloses this feature of the claim. Applicant respectfully disagrees. The cited excerpt describes a database of program listings and discloses that a viewer can select items of information displayed on the screen by keying into the viewer input device 28 code numbers assigned to the information items. However, the reference does not disclose transmitting display

location information. In claim 2, there is an OSD source for transmitting a plurality of OSD multi cursor display data by giving each OSD multi cursor display data a peculiar ID and transmitting a selected OSD multi cursor ID and display location information in the case that there is an OSD multi cursor display command from the OSD source remote controller. Yuen discloses keying in code numbers, but does not disclose transmitting display location information. Thus, Yuen fails to disclose the OSD source as claimed in claim 2. Therefore, claim 2 is not anticipated by Yuen.

Applicant submits that claim 1 is not anticipated by Yuen for reasons analogous to those for claim 2.

For the rejection of claims 3-7 over Yuen in view of Morrison, Applicant submits that claims 3-7 are allowable, at least because of their dependence from claim 2 and because Morrison fails to make up for the above-described deficiencies of Yuen.

With further regard to claim 3, Morrison does not disclose an OSD generator for generating OSD display data in bitmap format. The Examiner cites the system controller 115 of Morrison as allegedly corresponding to the claimed OSD generator. However, Morrison does not disclose that the system controller 115 generates OSD display data in bitmap format. Hence, claim 3 is allowable for this reason as well.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
U. S. Application No. 09/840,467

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Q62056

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



Cameron W. Beddard
Registration No. 46,545

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: April 8, 2005